

NORDIC HOOPS ANALYTICS

TECHNICAL GAME REPORT

BOX SCORE + PLAY-BY-PLAY ANALYSIS



Kongsberg Miners 84 - 90 Gimle

18/09/2026 | BLNO MEN

EXECUTIVE ANALYST REPORT

MATCH VERDICT

Gimle won by 6 through the combined effect of 16.9 points of offensive-rating advantage, stronger shot conversion and the ability to protect more possessions.

- 1** SHOT VALUE: Kongsberg Miners 43.8% eFG% versus Gimle 46.6%.
- 2** POSSESSION SECURITY: TOV% was 13.9% versus 8.6%.
- 3** OFFENSIVE REBOUNDING: OREB totals were 10 versus 11; extra chances must be connected to efficient scoring.
- 4** PACE: estimated possessions were 91.1 and 82.4, not simply the number of field-goal attempts.
- 5** GAME CONTROL: the scoring flow below identifies when the margin expanded or contracted.


SCORING FLOW AND TEMPO

PERIOD	Kongsberg Miners	Gimle	CUMULATIVE
Q1	21	23	21 - 23
Q2	20	15	41 - 38
Q3	21	19	62 - 57
Q4	22	33	84 - 90

SCORING RATE / GAME TEMPO

METRIC	Kongsberg Miners	Gimle
Points per minute	2.1	2.25
Points per possession	0.922	1.092
Possessions per minute	2.28	2.06
Seconds per possession	26.4	29.1
Estimated ORTG	92.2	109.2

CUMULATIVE SCORE



ANALYST NOTE

The pace profile is separated from the scoring profile: a team can play at similar volume but generate a very different number of points per possession. Gimle scored 1.092 points per estimated possession.

COMPLETE TEAM BOX SCORE

STAT	Kongsberg Miners	Gimle	WHY IT MATTERS
Points	84	90	-6
FGM - FGA	28 - 73	30 - 74	-2 makes
2PT	20/46	21/42	interior conversion
3PT	8/27	9/32	perimeter volume
FT	20/32	21/26	line pressure
OREB - DREB	10 - 29	11 - 27	-1 OREB
Total rebounds	39	38	second chances
Assists	11	18	creation
Turnovers	14	8	possession cost
Steals	6	9	pressure
Blocks	1	1	rim events

ADVANCED TEAM PROFILE

METRIC	Kongsberg Miners	Gimle	INTERPRETATION
ORTG	92.2	109.2	Gimle offensive edge
DRTG	109.2	92.2	lower is better
NET RTG	-16.9	16.9	single-game net
eFG%	43.8%	46.6%	shot value
TS%	48.2%	52.7%	free throws included
TOV%	13.9%	8.6%	possessions ending early
OREB%	27%	27.5%	second-chance share
FTr	43.8%	35.1%	FTA per FGA
AST/FGM%	39.3%	60%	assisted makes
3PA rate	37%	43.2%	perimeter diet

Possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV. DRTG uses opponent points over each team's estimated possessions.

SHOT ECONOMY

SHOOTING CHANNEL	Kongsberg Miners	Gimle	ANALYTICAL READING
Points from 2PT	40	42	interior scoring
Points from 3PT	24	27	perimeter scoring
Points from FT	20	21	line pressure
2PT FG%	43.5%	50%	conversion
3PT FG%	29.6%	28.1%	perimeter conversion
FT%	62.5%	80.8%	free-throw conversion
Paint / 2PT attempt share	63%	56.8%	interior volume
3PT attempt share	37%	43.2%	perimeter volume

WHAT THIS MEANS ON COURT

The comparison must distinguish makes, attempts and points. Identical three-point makes can coexist with very different efficiency if one team needs more attempts; the higher-leverage separator is usually the combination of two-point conversion, turnovers and free-throw pressure.

SHOT-TIME PROFILE

WINDOW	H FGA	H FG%	H eFG%	H PTS	A FGA	A FG%	A eFG%	A PTS
EARLY 24-17 sec	3	0%	0%	0	16	25%	25%	8
MIDDLE 16-9 sec	32	46.9%	53.1%	34	32	50%	60.9%	39
LATE 8-0 sec	18	22.2%	27.8%	10	13	38.5%	42.3%	11
CLASSIFIED TOTAL	53	52.8%	52.8%	64	61	49.2%	49.2%	69

IMPORTANT INTERPRETATION

Classified attempts: 53/72 for Kongsberg Miners and 61/73 for Gimle. No official shot-clock field exists in the source; windows are reconstructed from game-clock events, made baskets, rebounds, turnovers and qualifying resets.

POSSESSION BATTLE

POSSESSION EVENT	Kongsberg Miners	Gimle	COACHING INTERPRETATION
Estimated possessions	91.1	82.4	volume
Turnovers	14	8	lost opportunities
Steals	6	9	disruption
Offensive rebounds	10	11	extended possessions
Defensive rebounds	29	27	finished sequences
Total rebounds	39	38	overall board
Blocks	1	1	rim protection

PBP events loaded: 990. Scoring is checked against the official final score before a report is generated.

Kongsberg Miners - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
JoJo Anderson	35.1	17	16	9	8	42.9	22.2	37.5	43.5	23.6	2	2	1
Donnie Tillman	34.6	12	15	2	8	38.5	0	33.3	32.4	21.4	2	1	14
Joe Cookson	31.6	22	11	2	8	66.7	50	68.2	75.8	18.1	2	2	6
Karl Sigurd Madsen	27.6	7	7	5	2	0	40	42.9	44.4	11.9	0	3	1
Brage Vejlgaard Sørensen	19.8	7	6	1	3	20	100	41.7	47.8	8	1	0	11
Joshua Ipalibo Hart	16.5	4	5	2	2	33.3	0	20	34	8.7	0	2	1
Erik Borg	15.7	10	5	1	1	75	100	90	91.9	7.1	1	1	3
Ludvig Bergh	10.5	3	4	4	0	0	25	37.5	37.5	6.6	1	2	0
Jonas Berg Garras	8.6	2	4	1	0	33.3	0	25	25	5.5	2	1	2

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

Gimle - FULL PLAYER TABLE

PLAYER	MIN	PTS	FGA	3PA	FTA	2P%	3P%	eFG%	TS%	USG%	AST	TO	REB
Milovan Savic	34.6	27	12	6	18	66.7	16.7	45.8	67.8	25.4	5	1	11
Jørgen Od fjell	32.4	10	15	8	2	14.3	25	26.7	31.5	21.7	4	2	5
Lars Fredrik Mensah Espe	31.4	23	18	5	3	61.5	40	61.1	59.5	24.6	7	1	5
Jørgen Andreas Lamo	30.5	3	4	4	0	0	25	37.5	37.5	6.1	2	1	5
Kristoffer Gjerde Sætren	20.3	11	8	3	1	80	33.3	68.8	65.2	10.2	0	0	4
Isak Smørholm Ulveseth	17	4	5	1	2	25	0	20	34	9.6	0	2	4
Jovan Radulovic	13.3	4	6	0	0	33.3	0	33.3	33.3	7.3	0	0	3
Tobias Aleksander Clemm	12.2	8	6	5	0	100	40	66.7	66.7	8.5	0	1	0
Sverre Berge Hannisdal	8.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

eFG% accounts for the added value of three-point makes. TS% includes free throws. USG% estimates the share of team possessions finished by the player.

PLAYER PRODUCTION PER 100

PLAYER	TEAM	PTS/100	REB/100	AST/100	STL/100	TO/100	TS%	USG%
Milovan Savic	Gimle	32.8	13.3	6.1	2.4	1.2	67.8	25.4
Lars Fredrik Mensah Espe	Gimle	27.9	6.1	8.5	1.2	1.2	59.5	24.6
Joe Cookson	Kongsberg Miners	24.2	6.6	2.2	3.3	2.2	75.8	18.1
JoJo Anderson	Kongsberg Miners	18.7	1.1	2.2	1.1	2.2	43.5	23.6
Kristoffer Gjerde Sætren	Gimle	13.3	4.9	0	2.4	0	65.2	10.2
Donnie Tillman	Kongsberg Miners	13.2	15.4	2.2	1.1	1.1	32.4	21.4
Jørgen Odfjell	Gimle	12.1	6.1	4.9	1.2	2.4	31.5	21.7
Erik Borg	Kongsberg Miners	11	3.3	1.1	0	1.1	91.9	7.1
Tobias Aleksander Clemm	Gimle	9.7	0	0	0	1.2	66.7	8.5
Karl Sigurd Madsen	Kongsberg Miners	7.7	1.1	0	1.1	3.3	44.4	11.9
Brage Vejlgaard Sørensen	Kongsberg Miners	7.7	12.1	1.1	0	0	47.8	8
Isak Smørholm Ulveseth	Gimle	4.9	4.9	0	0	2.4	34	9.6
Jovan Radulovic	Gimle	4.9	3.6	0	0	0	33.3	7.3
Joshua Ipalibo Hart	Kongsberg Miners	4.4	1.1	0	0	2.2	34	8.7
Jørgen Andreas Lamo	Gimle	3.6	6.1	2.4	3.6	1.2	37.5	6.1
Ludvig Bergh	Kongsberg Miners	3.3	0	1.1	0	2.2	37.5	6.6
Ionas Berg Garras	Kongsberg Miners	2.2	2.2	2.2	0	1.1	25	5.5
Sverre Berge Hannisdal	Gimle	0	1.2	0	0	0	0	0

Per-100 values are normalised to each team's estimated possessions. They are not season averages and should be interpreted alongside minutes and role.

PLAYER-LEVEL ANALYST READ

Gimle: EFFICIENT CORE

Erik Borg (10 pts, 91.9 TS%, 11 PTS/100), Joe Cookson (22 pts, 75.8 TS%, 24.2 PTS/100), Milovan Savic (27 pts, 67.8 TS%, 32.8 PTS/100) led the most efficient scoring profiles among players with a meaningful attempt sample.

SCORING DISTRIBUTION

The leading scorers were Milovan Savic (27), Lars Fredrik Mensah Espe (23), Joe Cookson (22). The key question is whether the scoring came from sustainable shot quality, free throws or high-volume attempts.

CREATION AND SECURITY

Lars Fredrik Mensah Espe supplied 7 assists. Karl Sigurd Madsen recorded 3 turnovers, so creation quality must be evaluated together with possession cost.

DATA, METHODS AND CONFIDENCE

OFFICIAL BOX SCORE

Team and player totals come from the official match record.

POSSESSION FORMULA

Estimated possessions = FGA + 0.44 x FTA - OREB + TOV.

ADVANCED RATES

ORTG, DRTG, NET RTG, eFG%, TS%, TOV%, OREB%, FTr, AST/FGM% and 3PA rate are calculated from the box score.

PLAYER RATES

PTS/100, REB/100, AST/100, STL/100 and TO/100 use each team's estimated possessions. USG% is the player's estimated finishing share.

SHOT-TIME RECONSTRUCTION

The play-by-play has game-clock events but no official shot-clock field. Possession starts and resets are reconstructed from event order.

QUALITY CONTROL

990 play-by-play events were loaded; scoring is validated against the final score and malformed parent events are excluded from chronology calculations.

LIMITATION

This is a one-game descriptive analysis. It explains this match and does not establish a repeatable team identity without a larger sample.

FINAL COACHING CONCLUSIONS

FOR KONGSBERG MINERS

Protect the most efficient shot areas, reduce possessions ending in live-ball turnovers and connect offensive rebounds to higher-value attempts. Compare the team's 2PT, 3PT and free-throw profile before making a shooting-only diagnosis.

FOR GIMLE

Preserve the possession and shot-quality advantages that produced the result, but test whether the same profile survives against a different defensive scheme and a larger sample.

MATCH IN ONE SENTENCE

Gimle combined the stronger points-per-possession profile with the better balance between shot value, possession security and scoring distribution.